MATH 810: PROJECT IV DUE: NOV. 13TH, 2014

(1) Problem 11.4/page 88;

Solution:

We have by Fubini's

$$1 = m(A) = \int_{[0,1]^2} \chi_A(x,y) dx dy = \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 \chi_A(x,y) dy \right) dx = \\ = \int_0^1 m_1(s_x(A)) dx \le \max_{x \in [0,1]} m_1(s_x(A)) \le 1.$$

It follows that there all equalities above. Thus, $m_1(s_x(A)) = 1$ a.e. Indeed, assume not. Then, there exists $\delta > 0$, so that

$$m(B_{\delta}) = m(x \in (0,1) : \{m_1(s_x(A)) < 1 - \delta\}) > 0.$$

Then, by Chebyshev's

$$1 = \int_{0}^{1} m_{1}(s_{x}(A))dx = \int_{B_{\delta}} m_{1}(s_{x}(A))dx + \int_{B_{\delta}^{c}} m_{1}(s_{x}(A))dx \le m(B_{\delta})(1-\delta) + m(B_{\delta}^{c}) < m(B_{\delta}) + m(B_{\delta}^{c}) = 1.$$

Thus, 1 < 1, a contradiction.

(2) Problem 11.11/page 89

Hint: Use Fubini's

$$\int (\int f(x,y)d\mu y)dx = \int (\int f(x,y)dx)d\mu(y),$$

for specifically designed function f.

—bf Solution:

Consider the function $f(x, y) = \chi_{(0,c]}(y - x)$ and its integral over the product space $(\mathbf{R}^1, dx) \times (\mathbf{R}^1, dm(y))$, where dx is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R}^1 . We have by Fubini,

$$\int \int \chi_{(0,c]}(y-x)dxd\mu(y) = \int (\int \chi_{(0,c]}(y-x)d\mu(y))dx =$$
$$= \int (\int \chi_{(x,x+c]}(z)d\mu(z))dx = \int \mu(x,x+c])dx.$$

On the either hand, again by Fubini,

$$\int \int \chi_{(0,c]}(y-x)dxd\mu(y) = \int (\int \chi_{(0,c]}(y-x)dx)d\mu(y) = \int (\int_{y-c}^{y} dx)d\mu(y) = c \int_{\mathbf{R}^{1}} d\mu(y) = c\mu(\mathbf{R}^{1}).$$

This is the statement, if one realize that $f(x+c) - f(x) = \mu(x, x+c]$.

(3) Problem 12.4/page 98 (The definition of absolute continuity is actually on page 99).

Solution:

The definition for absolute continuity for signed measure is nowhere to be found in the book, but it is the usual thing - we say that the signed measure ν is abs. cont. w.r.t. the (complete) measure μ (denoted $\nu \ll \mu$), if $\mu(A) = 0$ implies $\nu(A) = 0$. Suppose that.

Let $\nu = \nu^+ - \nu^-$ be the Hahn decomposition of ν . Let E, F be the negative and positive sets respectively for ν . Then, by definition $\nu^-(A) = \nu(A \cap E)$, $\nu^+(A) = \nu(A \cap F)$. We have that $A \cap F \subset A$ and $A \cap E \subset F$. Since μ is complete $\mu(A \cap F) = 0, \mu(A \cap E) = 0$ and hence by the assumption $\nu \ll \mu$, $\nu(A \cap F) = 0 = \nu(A \cap E)$. Thus,

$$\nu^+(A) = \nu(A \cap F) = 0, \nu^-(A) = \nu(A \cap E) = 0.$$

Conversely, if $\nu^{\pm} \ll \mu$, we have that if $\mu(A) = 0$, $\nu^{\pm}(A) = 0$ and hence

$$\nu(A) = \nu^+(A) - \nu^-(A) = 0.$$

(4) Problem 12.7/page 98

Solution:

We only prove it for μ^+ , the other statement is similar. We have

 $\mu^+(A) = \mu(A \cap F) \le \sup\{\mu(B) : B \in \mathcal{A}, B \subset A\}.$

Conversely, take any $B \in \mathcal{A}, B \subset A$. We have

$$\mu(B) = \mu^+(B) - \mu^-(B) \le \mu^+(B) \le \mu^+(A),$$

where we have used that $\mu^{-}(B) \geq 0$ and then, since μ^{+} is a measure, $\mu^{+}(B) \leq \mu^{+}(A)$, since $B \subset A$. Thus,

$$\sup\{\mu(B): B \in \mathcal{A}, B \subset A\} \le \mu^+(A).$$

(5) Problem 12.8/page 98

Solution:

Again, we show this, by establishing a two way inequality.

$$|\mu|(A)| = \mu^+(A) + \mu^-(A) = \mu(A \cap F) - \mu(A \cap E) =$$

$$= |\mu(A \cap F)| + |\mu(A \cap E)| \le \sup\{\sum |\mu(B_j)| : B_j \subset \mathcal{A}, B_j \cap B_k = \emptyset, \cup B_j = A\}$$

Conversely, for $B_j \subset \mathcal{A}, B_j \cap B_k = \emptyset, \cup B_j = A$, we have

$$|\mu(B_j)| = |\mu^+(B_j) - \mu^-(B_j)| \le |\mu^+(B_j)| + |\mu^-(B_j)| = |\mu|(B_j)$$

Hence

$$\sum |\mu(B_j)| \le \sum |\mu|(B_j) = |\mu|(A),$$

since $|\mu|$ is a measure. Thus,

$$\sup\{\sum |\mu(B_j)| : B_j \subset \mathcal{A}, B_j \cap B_k = \emptyset, \cup B_j = A\} \le |\mu|(A).$$