

PROJECT II - MATH 800
DUE MARCH 15TH, 2018

- (1) Problem 19/p. 96
(2) Let D be a connected domain, not necessarily simply connected. Assume that there is a sequence of holomorphic on D functions $\{f_j\}$, so that $f_j \rightrightarrows f$, i.e. it converges uniformly on the compact subsets of D to a holomorphic function¹ f . More precisely, this means

$$\forall K \Subset D, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N = N(\epsilon, K) : j > N, \sup_{z \in K} |f_j(z) - f(z)| < \epsilon.$$

Prove that

$$f_j' \rightrightarrows f'$$

Hint: Let $K \Subset D$. Choose a closed curve γ inside D , with positive orientation, so that K is in the interior of γ . Then, by the Cauchy integral formula,

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f_j(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi$$

and hence

$$f_j'(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f_j(\xi)}{(\xi - z)^2} d\xi.$$

Use now the convergence of f_j on the compact γ to conclude.

- (3) Let f be a holomorphic function on a domain D . Let $z_0 \in D : f(z_0) = 0$. Prove that

$$g(z) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(z)}{(z-z_0)} & z \neq z_0 \\ f'(z_0) & z = z_0 \end{cases}$$

is holomorphic in D .

Note that as a consequence, one can always write $f(z) = (z - z_0)g(z)$ with $g \in H(D)$, whenever $f(z_0) = 0$.

Hint: Use Morera's theorem. Write

$$\int_{\gamma} g(z) dz = \int_{\gamma} g(z) dz + \int_{\Gamma_{\epsilon}} g(z) dz - \int_{\Gamma_{\epsilon}} g(z) dz$$

where $\Gamma_{\epsilon} = \{z : |z - z_0| = \epsilon\}$ traced clockwise. By Cauchy theorem, show that

$$\int_{\gamma} g(z) dz + \int_{\Gamma_{\epsilon}} g(z) dz = \int_{\gamma \cup \Gamma_{\epsilon}} g(z) dz = 0$$

and then show that $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma_{\epsilon}} g(z) dz = 0$.

- (4) Problem 24/page 97.

¹This is actually always the case, don't need to assume it. That is, if f_j are holomorphic, then its limit f is holomorphic as well

(5) Problem 27/page 97.

Hint: For the case when g has zeros - show first that the function $\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}$ is still well-defined and analytic at the zeros of g . For example, you can argue that it has removable singularities there.

(6) Problem 30/page 98.

Hint: Use the Cauchy estimates for balls with radius 1.

(7) 37/page 99.

Hint: Here, you may want to use an extension of Exercise 2 (which needs to be proved), namely that for each integer k , $f_j^{(k)} \Rightarrow f^{(k)}$.

(8) 42/page 100.